WEEKLY COURIER.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

Single copy, one year.....$ 2 50
Five copies, one year.....11 25
Ten copies, one year.....20 00

An extra copy sent gratis for every club of ten.

☞ Payable always in advance.

☞ Money sent by Express or in "Registered" letters at our risk.

LOUISVILLE WEEKLY COURIER.

VOL. 20. WHOLE NO. 1,006. LOUISVILLE, KY., WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 10, 1866. NEW SERIES, NO. 67

DAILY COURIER.
BY W. N. HALDEMAN.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

For one year, by mail.....$12 00
For six months, by mail.....6 00
For three months, by mail.....3 25
For one month, by mail.....1 25

☞ Payable always in advance.

☞ To city subscribers, per week, 25 cents, payable to the carrier.

Mexico and her Affairs.

The New York Herald says that to settle the Monroe Doctrine, it is only necessary "for France to be very firmly informed that we earnestly desire to avoid war; but that, war or peace, all our principles and policy imperatively require that she shall leave Mexico."

Suppose that our Government should adopt this policy, and it should turn out that France would not accept the terms so peremptorily laid down, what then? Is the assertion of the Monroe Doctrine at this time of such vital importance as to justify us in embarking in a war with a first-class power for its vindication? Have we such a direct interest in the affairs of Mexico that we are bound by considerations of honor and interest to undertake her quarrel and thus put our own interests and prosperity in jeopardy? We think not. War is a serious thing, and it is certain that if Napoleon should be disposed to accept hostilities rather than submit to the humiliation of being expelled from Mexico, he would most assuredly conduct the war upon a scale which would be apt to make it exceedingly interesting to us. It is very easy to say that we will not tolerate the presence of French troops and influence in Mexico, and we could, no doubt, drive out both; but the question is whether it will pay us to make the effort, and whether the advantages to result would be an equivalent for the expenditure of blood and money which necessarily be involved.

It seems to us that we have had war enough to last us for several years, and that a season of rest is absolutely necessary to enable us to patch up our shattered finances and to recuperate our exhausted energies. The building up and strengthening of our own country is a matter of more importance to us than the poor and barren privilege of regulating the politics of our neighbors. The day may come, after we have recovered from our late gigantic efforts and harmonized our people, when it will only be necessary for us to say that the continent must be cleared of all foreign influences and they will go. But the time has not yet arrived.

Parties in Kentucky.

If there is not, as the Journal asserts, five thousand Radicals in the State, how does it happen that it is a matter of such vital consequence, as that paper represents, that a great party should be organized to fight them? If they are so insignificant in numbers they cannot be very dangerous, or exercise any serious or pernicious influence in our politics or legislation. We imagine that the present movement of the Journal and its peculiar adherents, looks not so much to the resistance of the policy of the Radicals as to future political supremacy in the State; and the idea is, that by taking time by the forelock the various elements which are now opposing the schemes of the extremists can be combined into a great party sufficiently strong to control the State. It is aimed as directly at the Democratic party as against the Radicals. The indorsement which Democrats and Southern men have given the President and his policy, is to be used for the benefit of the proposed organization. It is a shrewd trick, but will hardly deceive the masses of those who have not heretofore admired or followed the leadership of the Journal. The Democratic party is not dead, and will be found wide awake at the appropriate time, ready to claim the direction of the policy of Kentucky. It will present such a platform of principles as cannot fail to commend it to popular support, because it will be manifest that it aims at the public good.

Let none, therefore, be misled into connecting themselves with the organization suggested by the Journal and its correspondents, under the delusive idea that only by so doing can the Radicals be successfully met and overthrown. The Democracy will take care of the Radicals, and effectually thwart all their plans, at least in Kentucky.

Demagogues.

The warlike dispositions and propensities exhibited by some men and public presses since the close of the war, who never thought of fighting while the war was going on, and opportunities for desperate and valorous deeds were abundant, would be amusing if they were not so contemptible. Men who never spoke, except with "bated breath," or without looking over their shoulders to see whether there was not a blue coat in sight; and presses which never spoke of the South, except to contemn and deride it, now claim to be par excellence the defenders of Southern rights and principles, and have the unblushing audacity and insolence to question and impugn the soundness of men who sacrificed their all, and bared their breasts to the hurricane of battle, in vindication of their sincerity and of the honesty of their purposes. Because these men who fought for four years, and when defeated acknowledged their defeat as fearlessly as they had fought, and now endeavor to conform to a state of things which they offered their life's blood to avert, these eleventh-hour men, who never smelled gunpowder except when it was rubbed on their ugly carcases for the itch, now point and sneer at the Confederates who are not willing to keep up the fight. If these heroic demagogues had got their steam up and bowled for a fight just four years sooner, perhaps they might have made themselves useful in the very line of business in which they are now making themselves ridiculous. It is safer to be a rebel now than when the Federal Government had a million of soldiers in the field; and it is more consistent with some men's notions to vilify than to fight.

Federal Relations in the Legislature.

We have read the several series of resolutions introduced into the House of Representatives by Messrs. Bell, Corbett and Bijur with great pleasure and satisfaction. While we do not approve all of the points made, the general spirit of the resolutions pleases us. They show that there are still men in the land who believe that States have rights, and that the limits of Federal authority are defined; they demand that justice shall be done to Kentucky by the restoration of the right of the writ of the *habeas corpus*, and that the State be left to adjust and arrange its own local and internal concerns. They also demand that the Southern Representatives be admitted to their seats, and that the work of restoring the Union go on.

There are but few, we imagine, who will be inclined to take issue with the resolutions in these respects, and it is gratifying to know that the Legislature is about to speak out in tones so clear and true. The voice of Kentucky in such dignified and manly utterances will not pass unheeded, but will go to swell the great volume of protest against the action of those who would keep the Union broken and dismembered.

☞ Tom Corwin used to tell a story about a horse jockey in Lebanon, Ohio, who had a rule "never to lend a man a dollar unless he would put down two Spanish milled dollars as security." The jockey's three rules for life, however, were first, "never refuse to drink with a man, because it won't cost you anything;" second, "never ask a man to drink, because it will cost you something, and might lead the man into bad habits;" third, "never mind what happens, so long as it don't happen to you."

THE CONTESTED ELECTION IN BRACKEN COUNTY.—The contested election between Wm. H. Reynolds (Democrat,) and John Stroube (Radical,) for the Legislature, in Bracken county, Kentucky, took place on Saturday last. Stroube, who was elected last August by about twenty-three majority, is now defeated by five or six hundred majority.

☞ The Hon. Elijah F. Purdy, for a third of a century a prominent Democrat in New York City, is dead.

Filibustering on Mexico.

A strange and startling report reaches us that a filibustering expedition is preparing upon the Rio Grande, to make a raid upon the Northern provinces of Mexico, under the leadership of a certain Colonel Crawford, late of the United States army, a sketch of whom we published yesterday, aided and assisted by Generals John A. Logan and Lew Wallace—names not unknown to fame, though not distinguished by warlike achievements. What this trio contemplate as the result of their buccaneering expedition against a nation with which the United States Government is at peace, we can not conceive. Perhaps they have taken a contract from Juarez to expel his Imperial rival from the country, and relying upon their great military experience, acquired in the late war of the rebellion, they believe themselves fully qualified for the enterprise. It may be, however, that it is to be only a raid for plunder—a private robbing excursion, possessing no political significance, and designed only to fill the pockets of the projectors. No intimations have yet been given of the purpose, and no developments have been made as to the extent, of the movement, or of the means possessed by the parties named to carry it out.

But whatever may be the design or motive, or however limited or extensive the means of the parties at the head of the enterprise, we cannot believe that the Government will stand idly by and tolerate such an outrage as such an expedition as this would be upon its neutrality laws. The men who are named as being at the head of the affair, have but recently occupied high official positions in the army of the United States, and their connection with such a breach of neutrality would aggravate our offense in the eyes of the world and in the view which the French and Mexican authorities would take of it. Mexico is now torn by internal dissensions. Two rival governments claim the right to administer her affairs. Each professes to have the sympathy and support of the people. It is known that the Emperor Maximilian is backed by the Government of France, and rumor says, but with how much truth we do not know, through a secret treaty, by England, Austria and Spain also.

A hostile demonstration against the Government of Maximilian from parties armed and equipped within the limits of the United States could not fail to be regarded by the Emperor and his supporters as an unwarranted and unfriendly interference on our part, and exasperations might be thereby generated which would result in very serious complications with those powers. Indeed, it might be impossible for our Government to evade responsibility in the matter, and war might result. Unquestionably, if our Government, with the full knowledge which it must have that such an expedition is on foot, does not arrest it, it will be clearly culpable and perhaps to an extent which apologies and explanations will not satisfy. In that event we would find ourselves involved in a foreign war which would be no child's play.

Already we have an Irish Republic in our midst, ostensibly making grand preparations for the deliverance of Ireland from British rule; and although our sympathies are naturally with the enterprise, yet we cannot be blind to the fact that it may bring us into disagreeable relations with England, and it may be involve us in actual war. If at the same time that this danger threatens, we directly or indirectly bring upon ourselves a war with Mexico and the supporting powers, we shall have work enough upon our hands to last us for the next quarter of a century.

A war with the European powers, and indeed with any body, should be avoided at any honorable sacrifice. We cannot afford to indulge in any such luxury. We have had enough of fighting to satisfy the present generation and to put our finances into an exceedingly precarious condition. Six months of war with England and France would redoce the value of greenbacks to about ten cents of Confederate currency the steamboat load. Our whole financial system would be wrecked, and universal bankruptcy would be the consequence. Let the Government see to it that we are not compromised with foreign Governments by the plundering schemes of needy and reckless adventurers.

Death of Rev. Thos. J. Fisher.

We were much pained to hear yesterday evening of the death of Rev. Thos. J. Fisher, from the wounds received on Monday night last, the account of which we have heretofore published. We are informed that he did not recover his consciousness up to the time of his death. This melancholy intelligence will send a pang of sorrow to the hearts of thousands who have hung in rapture upon the accents of his eloquent tongue.

We have not the materials with which to write even a partial sketch of this noted and extraordinary man. He was, if we are not mistaken, a native of Kentucky; at least this has been his residence and a portion of the field of his labors for many years. He married Miss Neal, of Green county, and has resided for a long period of time in the Green River section of the State.

He was a pupil of John Newland Moffit, with whom he traveled in his revival expeditions, and whom he rivaled as a finished and fascinating elocutionist. He has visited at various times all parts of the South and gained a full measure of fame as an eloquent and successful revivalist. He edited at one time a Baptist publication in this city. He is now cut down by the hand of violence, in the prime and vigor of his life, in the midst of his usefulness, and hurried without premonition into the presence of his God. In his death religion has lost one of its ablest advocates and defenders, and a bright exampler, society a useful citizen, his family an affectionate husband and father. His murderer yet stalks abroad unwhipt of justice, but surely the red hot wrath of an offended God will make his life a curse, and perhaps so enlighten human justice that it may yet bring him to the expiation of his monstrous crime.

☞ A resolution has been adopted in the House of Representatives declaring it to be the sense of the House "that the troops should not be withdrawn from the late seceded States until the two Houses of Congress shall have ascertained and declared their presence there to be no longer necessary." This is the "sense of the House." We judge, therefore, that there is very little "sense" in it. For if the House had had as much "sense" as it ought to have had, it would have recognized the fact that the President was Commander-in-Chief of the army, and at liberty to place it where he pleased, their resolutions to the contrary notwithstanding. And they should have known further that the President was not the man to surrender any powers which the Constitution has placed in his hands.

The Creelsboro Petroleum Company.

OFFICE CREELSBORO PETROLEUM CO.,
FRANKFORT, KY., Jan. 8, 1866.

Editors of Louisville Daily Courier: Having seen an article in this morning's Courier, headed "Petroleum from the Upper Cumberland," I have concluded to give you the facts as to what Company the petroleum referred to belongs. I this morning received a letter from our commission merchants at Nashville, Messrs. Dickey and Smith, informing me that the Gen. Sigel had just reached that port with two hundred and seventy barrels petroleum, from our well on the Gabbert Farm. The "Creelsboro Petroleum Company," therefore, deserves the credit of making the first shipment of petroleum from the *great oil regions* of the Cumberland river. We also have an additional four hundred barrels filled and ready for shipment on the next boat. Our lands are in Clinton and Russell counties on the south side of the river, opposite Creelsboro.

Very truly, J. L. WAGGENER,
President C. P. Co.

☞ The Montgomery, Alabama, Ledger states that Governor Patton is unwilling to have the Federal troops removed from the State at present.

The Courier and the Journal.

While it would be affectation in us to deny that we are much flattered by the unstinted praise which our contemporary of the Journal has bestowed upon our editorials, and the compliment it has paid us by copying them into its columns, we cannot consent to occupy the position in which that paper seems so anxious to place us. We can assure the Journal that, whatever deductions it may have made from our articles upon the labor system, we are very far from any intention to indorse or support the policy which it has so persistently advocated and urged upon the people of Kentucky for the last twelve months, and nothing we have said can be so regarded when fairly construed.

The Journal urged the people of Kentucky to vote for the Constitutional amendment when it was doubtful whether or not it could be adopted without her vote. That was advocating the abolition of slavery when slavery was an existing institution. We never favored any such scheme. On the contrary, if we had been publishing a paper at that time our pen would have been wielded, and our voice raised, against that outrageous, unnecessary and inexcusable interference with private rights and the sovereignty of the States. The Journal pressed the adoption of the amendment, in season and out of season. It told the people that because it would certainly be adopted, that, therefore, it was both their interest and duty to vote for it. We never entertained any such view. After the amendment had been adopted, and the abolition of slavery proclaimed by the highest official authority, we proposed to make the best of a bad bargain, and as we could not get indemnity for the past, try, at least, to obtain security for the future.

We saw an immense population of laborers, whose industry under a wise and appropriate system could be made profitable, thrown out upon the world. They belonged to a class but recently occupying a position of absolute servitude. They were exulting in their newly-acquired liberty, and being naturally indolent and suspicious of their late masters, were indisposed to work. The laws which governed them in their condition of servitude were still upon our statute books, and no others had been passed for their protection in their new condition. With their extravagantly excited hopes of something good to come, and their apprehensions that efforts would be made to reinslave them, they would make no contracts to labor, and threw themselves unreservedly into the hands of the military authorities who professed to be their friends.

In this condition of things the subject reached us. We were no advocate of the abolition of slavery before that result was forced upon us, as the Journal was. We did not desire its abolition, or believe it would result in public good. But when it was abolished, and there was no possible remedy for the outrage and great wrong which had been perpetrated upon us, we proposed to conform to a state of things which we could not remedy.

It may be pleasant, and no doubt is, for the Journal to find itself with us for once. It ought to be, for it is now assured that upon one point at least in its long history it is right, but it can not claim with truth that we are following in its track, or that our views upon the slavery question were in harmony with those it earnestly entertained.

We urged conformity to the existing state of things as a choice of evils and not as a matter of principle which we would have advocated under other circumstances. Laws to control an institution which has been overthrown and disappeared, are absurdities and should be repealed. Such other laws as are necessary for the protection and control of the freedmen should be enacted without delay. So far as the Journal adopts these views it is right, but when it seeks our indorsement for its opinions before the time when the constitutional amendment became the law of the land, it travels beyond the record and will run against our protest. Upon some of the questions which have agitated the country during the last four years we are disposed to pick our company, and this one of the constitutional amendment is one upon which we are not inclined to accept a partnership with the Journal.

Beyond the regulation of the labor system, we had another important object in the arguments we have used, and that was to get rid of the Freedman's Bureau, and the supervising military care which the Government is now exercising over us. We presume the Bureau is about as good a thing as any thing of the kind could be, but this, unfortunately, does not prevent it from being a nuisance. General Palmer is doubtless as desirable a citizen as one of his class, occupying the position he does, could make himself. We have no complaints to urge against him personally, for report speaks him a very courteous and liberal-minded gentleman, and we have no facts which would authorize us to gainsay public report. But then, General Palmer's presence is a standing menace. It is a living threat of Government vengeance. He is a slumbering volcano in whose bowels repose all the powers of the mightiest Government upon earth, ready to be launched at the heads of all who may incur the displeasure of himself or that of those he represents. Therefore, if it is perfectly consistent with the interests of the Government—and we understand that it will be, so soon as our laws are made to conform to the existing order of things—that General Palmer shall retire from his arduous official duties here, and resume once more the charge of his private interests in Illinois, we shall be both gratified and greatly relieved. For these reasons, we have urged that our laws should be promptly amended, so that they will be satisfactory to the Government and just to all parties. When this is done, we are satisfied that we shall have the pleasure of bidding a long farewell to General Palmer and the Freedmen's Bureau.

☞ Why draw a black line around those laws now said to be defunct, for the mere purpose of stigmatizing the legislation of our fathers?—*Democrat.*

We would draw no black lines around those laws. We would simply remove them from the statute books because they *are* defunct. That is as sufficient reason for removing any laws from the statute book. The Democrat confesses that they are defunct. Why then do they stand among our laws? If we have laws they should be living, vital, active ones; not those which are defunct. All such are a reproach to our Legislature, and the sooner they disappear the better. Why should they be restored? Can the Democrat give any good reason?

☞ The status of Maximilian has at last been officially defined by our Government. In his recent message to Congress President Johnson styles the government of Maximilian the "so-called Mexican Empire." That settles the question of the recognition of the Empire for the present. The Austrian will have to demolish Juarez before he can hope to stand on level ground at Washington.

☞ "If he," Shellaberger, of Ohio, "were asked how long will you keep them," the rebel States, "out if you cannot secure these conditions of return, he would sorrowfully hat inexorably answer forever."

"Quoth the Raven 'nevermore!'"

And the Raven's plumage was not blacker than Shellaberger's principles.

WHAT NEXT?—A proposition has been introduced into Congress for the construction of a postal and military railroad from Washington to New York city. Though we see no mention of eating stations and sleeping cars, we presume they will not be forgotten.

☞ The Evansville Courier has recently been considerably enlarged and greatly improved. We are glad to see such substantial evidence of its well-deserved success.

A Democratic Convention in Kentucky.

In former times the Whig and Democratic parties struggled with varying success for ascendancy in Kentucky politics. On all great questions involving human rights and constitutional principles, the majority of the people sympathized with the fundamental principles of the Democratic party, and that sympathy is at this time more general and decided than it has ever been in the history of the State heretofore.

We do not propose to trace the history of those dark days in which freedom of speech and freedom of the press were annihilated, and the sentiments of the people were unknown or disregarded—days in which men who, inclined to Federal principles, were gradually taught by the wrongs they experienced to look upon the Democratic party in a new light, as the faithful champion of justice, of the rights of States and of citizens.

We go back merely to the time when, at the risk of their liberty and property, the Democrats of the State met in convention at Louisville in June, 1864, and proclaimed their unflinching adherence to the principles of Jefferson and Madison, and their opposition to the whole policy of the Administration, to coercion, usurpation, emancipation and consolidation. That convention, over which the venerable Gov. Wickliffe presided, sent a body of delegates to the National Democratic Convention at Chicago, and issued a bold and manly remonstrance against the suppression of the freedom of the press in Kentucky by the military dictator, Burbridge.

The delegates of the Democracy were honorably received at Chicago, and at the same time, the conservative Union party having acquired sufficient respect for Democratic principles to be willing to call their own convention a Democratic one, sent a body of delegates, who, as representatives of a party claiming to be Democratic, were respectfully received into the National Democratic Convention. There were some, it is true, under the Union Democratic banner, who never relinquished their hostility to the Democratic party, although they were compelled by the progress of public opinion to affiliate with the Democracy, and there are still a few individuals of that class, who, although the mass of their party are now in sympathy with the true Democracy, are very anxious to get rid of the name and principles of Democracy, to disorganize the party, to denounce it as a body of factionists, and to head some other movement, under some other name, so as to ignore the only party now extant which has at all times been faithful to constitutional liberty. But the people see plainly that there is, and can be, but one party opposed to Jacobinism, and this party is already a mighty power in the land, with which we must cooperate, unless we wish to aid the Jacobins by weakening the only power that they dread. The country is divided between Jacobinism or radical lawlessness, and constitutionalism or Democracy, and every citizen must, by his political action, aid either one or the other.

The Democratic party, by their convention in 1864, appointed a Central Executive Committee, located at Louisville, authorized in their behalf to agree upon an Electoral ticket for the Presidential election, and to exercise a large discretionary power in watching over the interests of the party, and doing whatever might be necessary in those perilous times. This unusual trust was reposed in the committee because they were known to be men of judgment and capacity, whose fidelity to principle and devotion to Democracy had been tested. This committee, embracing the names of Dr. Buchanan, Samuel L. Geiger, Dr. N. Green, Jeff Brown, O. H. Strattan, E. Craig, W. H. Sparke, Dr. H. Kalfus, with about an equal number of non-resident members, associated as an Advisory Committee, cooperated in the announcement of a McClellan electoral ticket in 1864; but in 1865, not deeming it desirable to hold a Democratic Convention, took no action further than to urge the voters of their party to cooperate in the support of all candidates opposed to Radicalism.

In that campaign the conservative members of the Legislature held a meeting at Frankfort, of which no public notice was given, which was addressed by Senator Powell, and passed resolutions of a democratic character. Thus the election was conducted with a general co-operation of the original and the conservative Democrats, whose principles appeared nearly identical. A central committee, from different parts of the State, was appointed by the Frankfort meeting, and Mr. Harney, of the Democrat, was made its chairman, but we do not believe the committee ever held a meeting to take any action—a natural result, as they were the representatives of nothing but a semi-private, though highly respectable, meeting in the Capital.

We think it is now time that the Democratic Central Committee should appoint a time for the State Convention, which is generally called for by the people. It is necessary to adjust the conflicting claims of candidates; to organize the party; to declare officially its present *status*, and to give assurance to the Democracy of other States that we are not dead or dormant in Kentucky; that we shall sustain the President in his efforts for the restoration of the Union, and endeavor to bring to an end the rule of the Jacobin minority, which has stolen into power by fraud and usurpation.

The Convention ought clearly to be held in Louisville, as it is the most accessible place in the State, and would secure a larger attendance than any other location. To such a convention, candidates, none of whom reside here, would willingly submit their claims, and the nominee would certainly be elected; moreover, such a convention would embody and declare distinctly the present position of Kentucky in reference to national policy.

[For the Louisville Courier.]

Meeting in Nelson County.

At Bardstown, on Jan. 8th, (County Court day,) the people of Nelson county, in pursuance of a call made upon them to express their preference for United States Senator, met on that day. Wm. J. Heady, Esq. on motion was called to the chair, and L. W. Talbott, Esq., appointed Secretary. The Chairman having explained the objects of the meeting, on motion appointed a committee to draft resolutions, who reported the following, which were unanimously adopted:

Resolved, 1st. That the Hon. L. W. Powell is the first choice of the people of Nelson county for United States Senator.

Resolved, 2d. That our Senator Wm. Johnson, and our Representatives in the lower House of the Kentucky Legislature, James Wood, be and they are both hereby instructed to support Mr. Powell for said office of United States Senator so long as he shall be in nomination before any meeting of members or any joint session of the present Legislature.

Resolved, 3d. That the Democratic papers of the State be requested to publish the above resolutions.

W. J. HEADY, Chairman.
L. W. TALBOTT, Secretary.

[For the Louisville Courier.]

Meeting in Owen County.

At a meeting of the Democrats of the New Liberty District in the county of Owen, on the 6th day of January, 1866, Dr. James F. Blanton was called to the chair and Newton Williams appointed Secretary.

Col. D. Howard Smith was cordially recommended as a suitable candidate for Clerk of the Court of Appeals.

A meeting of the Democratic party will be held at Owenton on the 15th of the present month to organize and appoint delegates to attend a State Convention should one be held.

We, are for Col. Smith, but will cordially indorse the nominee of the Democratic Convention of the State.

The Democratic papers of the State are requested to publish.

DR. JAS. F. BLANTON, President.
NEWTON WILLIAMS, Secretary.

☞ Alexander Dumas, the elder, is certainly one of the men of our day who most loves money and despises it. One day ten years ago, he said that he made $700,000 by his pen, and had not $12 in his pocket. Since then he has probably earned $100,000 more, but it is not believed he has a cent in his pocket.

[For the Louisville Courier.]

Legislation for the Freedmen.

MESSRS. EDITORS: It is insisted by Gen. Fisk, of the Freedmen's Bureau, and others, that a new code of laws regulating the rights and duties of free negroes is needed in Kentucky, in consequence of the adoption of the amendment to the Federal Constitution abolishing slavery. But to some persons the necessity for such a code is by no means apparent, especially not to the extent claimed. It is a matter of no consequence whether the old slave code be repealed or not. There are now no slaves for it to apply itself to. It has simply ceased to operate for want of subject matter; and the persons freed by operation of the Constitutional Admendment take the *status* of free negroes, under our old system of laws in regard to that class of inhabitants.

We have now, and have had for a long time, in Kentucky a complete system of laws in regard to them, the result of gradual growth and long experience, which has been found to secure the rights and to regulate the duties of that people as well as may be—not perfect, of course, but as perfect as long experience and the wisdom of successive Legislatures can make it. They may make contracts and enforce them in any of the State courts, may purchase and hold any kind of real or personal property, may sue and be sued in any of the courts of the Commonwealth, are liable for infractions of the criminal and penal laws, may marry and be divorced, having the same marital rights as the whites. Paupers among them are to be provided for by the county poor-houses; children not properly cared for may be bound out as apprentices, either by the county courts or their parents. Vagrancy is punishable in them as with the whites. True, they are under certain disabilities. They may not hold office, or vote, or sit upon juries, or testify for or against white persons, or immigrate into this State, or obtain license to vend ardent spirits, or attend the common schools, or intermarry with whites, or be enrolled among the militia of the State. These disabilities experience has pointed out as being necessary for the greatest good to the greatest number, and are thought to be such as exist in many of the Northern States. These suggestions are made in no spirit of opposition to the advancement and prosperity of that race. Slavery is at an end, and Kentucky involuntarily has most effectually assisted in bringing about the result. She can not and ought not to ignore this result of her endeavors. These people are here, and humanity revolts at the idea of expelling them by force. They have the same local attachments as the whites. They have nursed, fed and played with most Kentucky-raised people, and if there is any wrong in the present state of things, they are not responsible for it to any criminal extent. They constitute a large element for weal or for woe, and it is the duty of the State, both in a moral and material sense, to make the most of them possible—to elevate them by teaching industry and sobriety, and morality and good conduct generally; to restrain their vices and evil passions, and to encourage them in proper courses, and make the very best population of them that can be. The happiness of our white people and the material prosperity of the State largely depends upon it. But all this does not necessarily involve a radical change in our laws as to them. There are some changes that readily suggest themselves that should be made at once, and let the rest be the result of experience. The matter most immediately needing the attention of our lawmakers grows out of the fact that marriage among slaves was not recognized by our law—a matter always of serious regret to very many slaveholders themselves. They formerly went together with greater or less religious ceremony, and sometimes with no ceremony at all—and lived and cohabited together as husband and wife, without any civil recognition. They now find themselves free persons, living not in wedlock and their offspring born out of wedlock. These marriages, in form, should, by act of Assembly, be legalized and the offspring of such be declared legitimate, so as to give them full marriage rights and responsibilities. Their own recognition of the marriage relation, as between themselves, since their emancipation, would possibly make a valid marriage at the common law and their children legitimate. But marriage should be a matter of record, and these people, who have lived and cohabited together as husband and wife, should be required to register themselves as such before the Clerk of the County Court or other competent authority, giving names and ages of themselves and their offspring, and such registry made conclusive as to the fact of marriage and paternity of offspring, so that all the rights and responsibilities of the relation of husband and wife and parent and child may be at once fully established and recognized among these people, and these rights and responsibilities may be enforced by the courts of the country. And sufficient penalties should be annexed to insure compliance with the provisions of such a law. Auxiliary to this the law of the State as now applicable to white people in regard to bastardy should also be applied to free negroes and mulattoes.

In another article I may undertake to show that Kentucky has already granted to free negroes and mulattoes—the same to the extent of her own laws—as large a scope of civil rights as is consistent with the "greatest good to the greatest number" of her people, and that the disabilities upon them are necessary and not unjust.

B. A.

Letter from Mrs. Davis.

MILL VIEW, GA., Dec. 4, 1865.

T. B. Clarke, Secretary and Agent L. S. A. Association:

MY DEAR SIR: I am in receipt of your very kind letter in the name of the Ladies' Southern Aid Association, having "for its object the purpose of placing" me "and family in circumstances somewhat commensurate with their estimate of" me and mine, and begging that I will, at my earliest convenience, designate a place to which the means so collected may be conveyed, so that they may "safely and satisfactorily" reach me.

From our desolated and impoverished friends I scarcely expected such an expression of material sympathy, though my powers of gratitude have been almost daily to thank those who have, with so much heart eloquence, plead with the President for him who, though unsuccessful, has given you all he could—his best energies; and whose only hope of future happiness lies in the sweet trust often expressed, that he has not lost your confidence and love. Ignorant of all which his enemies or friends have done for him in his painful captivity, his devotion is unabated. "The unfortunate have always been deserted and betrayed, but did ever man have less to complain of when he had lost the power to serve? The multitude are silent; why should they speak save to him who hears best the words most secretly uttered? My own heart tells me the sympathy exists—that the prayers from the family are not hushed. Be loving and confiding still to those from whom I have received more than I deserve; far more official honors than I ever desired. Those for whose cause I suffer are not unworthy of the devotion of all which I had to give." This is the message of love which is sent through this precious boy to our own people. I say our people, because both of us have been brought up with you; one of us was born in Mississippi, the other came to her in infancy. These are my own people, and it is a privilege of which no change of circumstances can deprive me. To the accepted prayers of our widows and orphans, our suffering but heroic women, our brave men now in the prison, their little children, I look for the restoration to my little children of their agonized but Christian father. If a merciful Providence so ordain it, we hope to live and die among you, mutually consoling and bearing each other's burdens. I pray God we may not be driven forth from the home of our childhood, "for how can we sing our own song in a strange land?" We would not have our dear friends betrayed by their sympathy into offering, for our use, too much from their own "basket and store." I and mine have, so far, been miraculously cared for and shielded from want. We seem ever environed by the love which is reflected upon us from that which lighted my husband in his dungeon—softened his prison walls with many pictures of loving eyes and outstretched arms.

Grief and gratitude seem to impose upon me silence. I would, but cannot say more. I will inclose within this note the names and directions of gentlemen to whom the contributions of which you speak may be inclosed. And instead of the eloquent voice which so often has poured forth his love to his dear people, now mute, I offer a wife's and mother's and a country woman's gratitude to you and those you represent.

I have the honor to be, very gratefully and sincerely yours, VARINA DAVIS.

ANOTHER CONTESTED ELECTION.—Mr. Harvey Wilson, of Kenton county, has notified Mr. J. D. Shutt that he will contest his seat in the Legislature. Mr. W. claims that he received a majority of fifteen votes in the West Covington precinct, where it was falsely reported the polls were not opened. He also claims that in several precincts where he would undoubtedly have received a majority of votes the polls were purposely kept closed. If the race is run over there can be no doubt whatever of the triumphant election of Mr. Wilson. Mr. Benton, who will unquestionably be ousted from his seat as State Senator from this county, as soon as the Legislature meets, will also be badly beaten if he dares to make the race again.—[Cin. Enquirer.

☞ The Dalles (Oregon) papers say that enough of liquor has been sent across the mountains to keep every human being in Montana drunk all winter.

☞ The total defalcation by subordinates in the Paymaster General's department is about half a million.

The Attempt to Burn New York—Case of Robert Martin—Evidence of General Whittaker, of Kentucky, Martin's Counsel—A Scene in Court—Personal Allusions.

[From the New York Herald, January 6th.]

Yesterday the examination into the case of Robert Martin, who is charged with having attempted to fire the city of New York on the 27th of November, 1864, was resumed before Commissioner Osborn, in the United States District Court. Mr. Courtney, United States Assistant District Attorney, appeared for the prosecution. The prisoner was defended by Mr. W. C. Whittaker, of Kentucky, who has been a general in the Union service.

The first and only witness was General Whittaker, who protested against being examined, on the ground that as statements had been made to him by the prisoner in the nature of privileged communications, as between counsel and client, it would not be right for him to disclose them in any investigation of this kind.

Mr. Courtney said he would examine General Whittaker as to certain matters he wished to be informed upon respecting the prisoner.

General Whittaker was then sworn and examined as follows: I reside in Louisville, Kentucky; I have known the prisoner since the 1st of October, and have known him by reputation for two or three years.

Q. Where did the prisoner reside in 1864, and what was his business or occupation? A. My answer is that I am counsel for Robert Martin; I am his legal adviser; I know nothing of him of my own personal knowledge except what I have detailed; all the information I have in reference to the defendant, by which I could respond to the questions propounded to me, has been derived from statements made by him to me as privileged communications between counsel and client, and for this reason I respectfully decline answering the question.

Q. Who employed you? A. I was first addressed by letter from Mr. Martin, sent to me by the military authorities of Fort Lafayette, and I was then employed by the brother of Martin, who was an officer in the federal service.

Q. Did you consult with the brother of the defendant as to the affairs of the defendant at Louisville? A. If you mean as to his criminality or innocence, I did not.

Q. Did the brother of the defendant at any time inform you that the defendant was at any time an officer in the Confederate army during the rebellion? A. If he did I do not recollect it; my impression is that he did not.

Q. Did you ascertain the fact that he was, during the rebellion, an officer of the Confederate government? A. If I did I do not now recollect it.

Q. Did the prisoner state or inform you that he was an officer of the Confederate government, and, if so, where and when? A. I decline respectfully to answer as to any communication that has passed between me and Mr. Martin as counsel and client, it being laid down in the legal authorities that where a man employed counsel to defend him what passed between them would be privileged communications, and the relations that thus existed between them would not be violated by any court. The position assumed by the District Attorney would utterly preclude a man from the benefit of counsel before the charge against him was proved. If a man were taken up for the crime of murder before the examining court, and had obtained counsel to defend him, and had made communications to the counsel under the expectation that he would be tried, and that it was found that the charge of murder could not be maintained, but that a charge of manslaughter could, the charges being separate and distinct, would it therefore be assumed that having made those communications under the expectation that he would be charged, counsel was at liberty to come into court and give evidence respecting them? This would be contrary to law and a betrayal of the secrecy that was absolutely necessary.

Mr. Courtney said he would waive the question for the present.

The examination of the witness was then resumed. He said—I know Colonel Martin by reputation before he employed me as counsel.

Q. What was his reputation in the federal army and in Kentucky as to his being a Confederate officer? A. I do not recollect to have heard what position he held in the Confederate army; I always heard him called a brave, daring, humane man, who treated with the utmost kindness all prisoners whom the fate of war threw into his hands; his reputation was that of an honorable, brave foe.

Q. When you use the word "foe" explain what you mean. A. I mean by that a soldier of the Confederate army—an enemy to our government.

Q. In alluding to the prisoner all along you call him Colonel Martin. A. That is the name given to him by his friends, and I give it to him out of respect.

Q. Was the matter of respect the only reason why you gave him that title? A. I have already answered that question; I have given the reason why I have given him that title.

Court—Was it altogether a matter of respect? A. No, sir.

Mr. Courtney—Do you not know that the reputation of the prisoner in Louisville and among those who know him was notorious as having been an officer and soldier in the Confederate army during the rebellion or some time during the rebellion? A. I do not think I ever heard half a dozen persons speak of Martin in my life; I do not know that his reputation was notorious as an officer; I have heard him spoken of in the manner I have already detailed as a soldier, and I have frequently heard him spoken of as a soldier in the rebel army; what his position was did not seem to be understood.

Q. Have you not frequently stated in this city, since you have been here, that Martin was one of the most active and daring of men in the Confederate army? A. I believe I have stated several times that Martin had the reputation of being one of the bravest and most highminded soldiers in the Confederate service; I believe I may have possibly used the word "officer" to some persons—to the District Attorney.

Mr. Courtney—Not to me. You only said he was a humane man.

Witness—This information as to Martin was derived from the estimate in which he was held in Kentucky.

Mr. Courtney—Do you know whether or not he was reputed to have been with Davis and with Lee at the time of the surrender of the latter and the capture of the former? A. I do not think I ever heard any one say anything on that subject; I have heard that he was included in the general amnesty that was offered at the surrender of the army.

Q. What amnesty do you allude to? A. The one that was given at the time of the surrender of Lee's and Johnston's armies.

Q. From whom did you hear that? A. I do not remember; I heard several speak of it; my impression is that I heard the prisoner say so, and I may have heard others say so, but I do not recollect.

Q. When and where did you hear the prisoner say so? A. In Louisville, and my best impression is that the statement is contained in a written application that has gone to the President.

Q. Have you seen that application? A. Yes; and I was the bearer of that application to the President; I have read it.

Q. Was the petition signed by the prisoner? A. Yes.

Q. Having seen that petition signed by the prisoner, and read it, are you still willing to swear that you have no information or knowledge as to the prisoner having been in the Confederate service during the rebellion? A. I can only refer to the paper, and take its contents as part of my answer.

A SCENE IN COURT—PERSONAL ALLUSIONS.

Mr. Courtney—I must say that your answers are more ingenious than candid.

The witness said he would not tolerate such language. The questions put to him were calculated to put him in a false position.

Mr. Courtney replied that he was there in the discharge of a public duty, and he did not think that he had put any question that was not proper.

The witness—If you use the language you have addressed to me you should do it on the street.

The Court—I cannot allow this personal altercation.

Mr. Courtney—There is no personal altercation.

Witness—I ask the Court to call him to order.

Mr. Courtney—This General is used to this bullying in Kentucky.

Court—I call you to order.

Mr. Courtney—Re is here, now, in another place, and his insults and threats have no force on me. I repeat again, his answers were more ingenious than candid, and every one who has heard his testimony will say so. This attempt to bully me will have no effect on me. I have seen this before, and if done again I will resent it in a way that the Court may not desire to have repeated here.

Witness—As to his personal allusions, I will only add that the street is the only proper place to settle them.

Court—Go on with the examination. As to the remarks or criticism of the District Attorney, they are merely his opinions and have no effect on me; but you (the witness) are entitled to protection.

Witness—I ask no protection.

Court—The District Attorney has a right to criticize the testimony if he does it in a way that is not personal.

Witness—He has criticized my testimony while I was under examination.

The Court intimated that it would require the attendance of the

WEEKLY COURIER.

WEDNESDAY...JANUARY 17, 1866.

The Courier, the Democrat and the Convention.

Our call upon the Executive Committee to designate a time and place for a Democratic Convention, has elicited a furiously amusing editorial in the Democrat, which the writer takes care to say was not written by Mr. Harney. The article, however, bears sufficient intrinsic evidence that Mr. Harney did not write it—it has little of the simplicity and common sense of Mr. Harney. It looks as if the writer, under some unusual stimulus, was endeavoring to stand on his head, and see everything upside down and wrong end foremost. We might pass it by without notice, but for the fact that anything published in a newspaper will be read by many, who are not acquainted with the subject, and may therefore be deceived.

The writer seems, or affects, to think that the COURIER wants to start a new party on the basis of supporting President Johnson, that it wants to affiliate with the Louisville Journal and to read out of the Democratic party certain members who are not sound, with more of similar nonsense, which the responsible editor of the Democrat would not have written, for he well knows that the COURIER is now, and has been, more irreconcilably hostile to the Radical party and the Journal party than the Democrat is, or ever was. Our aim has been to concentrate in a solid body the Democratic and Constitutional party in this State, and to overthrow entirely that radical and semi-radical party represented by the Frankfort Commonwealth and the Louisville Journal. In this aim we expected the co-operation of the Democrat; and we respectfully ask that it shall henceforth abstain from misrepresenting our position and purposes, which, if we understand the Democrat rightly, are not substantially different from its own.

When we spoke of a few individuals who voted with the Democratic party in the McClellan campaign, but who are now anxious to disorganize the party, and who speak of its leaders as factionists, and the party in Kentucky as a faction, we referred, as we had in previous editorials, to the Louisville Journal and politicians who follow it. They are the only persons to whom such expressions could possibly apply, and as for expelling them from the party we made no such suggestion. They have expelled themselves, and will never again claim a position in the Democratic ranks. The expression at which the writer catches as the ground of his misconception—"there are some, it is true, under the Union Democratic banner who never relinquished their hostility to the Democratic party"—was a misprint; "are" should have been "were," referring to the time of the McClellan campaign as any one might see from the context of the article.

If the Democrat really desires the harmony of the party in Kentucky, it must abstain from suggesting that the COURIER is hostile to any portion of that party—a suggestion utterly unwarranted and inexcusable. We know of no material differences among the men in this State who stand firmly against radicalism—they will not be divided upon any past issues. The COURIER will not make any such division—the Democrat must not. Differences of opinion upon minor points should never interfere with the concerted action of a party against the common enemy.

The contest is between the President, laboring for restoration of the Union, and the Radicals—which shall we support? The idea of supporting the President in his *entire* policy is not Democratic; it belongs to the Journal—but to help him in his war with the Radicals and to assure him that all his good deeds will be liberally and gratefully appreciated by the Democratic party, is surely our duty at this time.

The over-zealous writer in the Democrat assumes that Mr. Harney and his committee, appointed by a Frankfort caucus, are the sole representatives of the Democratic party, and that if anybody else should call a Democratic convention it would produce a "schism" in the party. This is sheer nonsense; who would make the "schism" unless the Democrat should oppose the convention? We believe the party would readily respond to any call from any well known and reliable body of Democrats—and we do not think we have made any mistake in calling upon the only Central Democratic Executive Committee in the State, which was appointed by a Democratic State Convention with plenary powers. Mr. Harney certainly does not claim autocratic command of the party to order or forbid its meeting. He has not chosen to issue a call. We do not know why the Central Committee should not act. If they do not act in the premises, the members of the Legislature might, with great propriety, make a call, and probably would; and if the members should not act, the Democrats of Louisville might take the matter in hand and invite the Democracy of the State to meet them in council here. There will be a Convention—that is, we think, a fixed fact, and we trust it will organize and combine the entire strength of the opponents of Radicalism.

We hope the Democrat will do us the justice to state our position correctly, and henceforth aim its blows at Radicalism, instead of discovering and magnifying all possible or imaginary differences among Democrats.

Southern Indebtedness.

The New York merchants are getting somewhat excited on the subject of the debts due them in the South, contracted before the war, and are about to make a move to get Congress to interpose its authority and prevent such legislation in the States as will put their claims in jeopardy through the operation of the statutes of limitations. We think that these gentlemen are making their appeal in the wrong quarter. The Government has just given the Southern people a lesson on the subject of paying their debts which they will not be likely to forget. It forced them to repudiate their State debts and give a pledge that they would not hereafter pay them. This was a very bad example, and was well calculated to grow into practice as a precedent and be pleaded in justification of the morality and right of other repudiations.

It is very certain that the Southern people are not now able to pay their old debts even if they were so disposed. The majority of them had their fortunes wrecked in the rebellion, and are now financially prostrate. In the large majority of cases it is probable that the Southern creditors were compelled to report the amount of their Northern liabilities to the Confederate Government, and pay over the amounts under the sequestration act. It will probably be urged that this is no discharge of the debt. Perhaps not. But it certainly to that extent impaired the ability of the debtor to pay, and probably disinclines him now to do it. We believe, however, that the large majority of Southern men, if fairly treated and reasonably indulged, will pay up what they owe. Some of them have a little money now, but if they pay their debts they will have nothing to commence business with and no means of supporting their families. The anxiety for stay laws in the South, no doubt, grows out of the apprehension that Northern creditors will push their claims and exhaust the resources of the country and take all the money out of it. If the Northern creditors were not manifesting such an eagerness to get their money, there would not be so much anxiety South for stay laws.

THE MERCER BANNER.—We have received the first number of a very elegantly-printed and carefully-edited weekly publication of the above caption. It is issued from Harrodsburg by Tom. B. Carter, a young gentleman of fine capacity and thorough practical knowledge of the publishing business. We wish Mr. Carter and his excellent paper the very greatest success, both pecuniarily and politically. Our merchants will find the Mercer Banner a very remunerative advertising medium.

MORE PETROLEUM ON THE CUMBERLAND. Our article On 'Change this morning furnishes more oil developments in that great oil region watered by the upper Cumberland, the most prolific oil region in the country, Pit Hole, Pa., not excepted. The new wells are located some above and others below Burksville.

The Democrat and the President's Policy.

We must add, too, that the Democrats will not sustain the President in his particular scheme of restoration. The scheme presented by the meeting which the Courier underrates, based upon the Sherman and Johnston treaty of surrender, is the one which the Democracy of this State is pledged to support. So far as his scheme accords with that, the only true one, the President will be supported by the Democratic party and no further.

We cannot but regret that the Democrat has been driven, by its mistaken desire to be regarded as specially intense upon the question of Southern Rights, into a position so entirely at war with the true interests of the Southern States, and the Democratic party, as is indicated in the paragraph above quoted. As we have no means of knowing the motives of the editor, or any method of interpreting his meaning, other than by his peculiar language, we must conclude that the President's plan for the restoration of the Union does not meet his approbation and will encounter his opposition.

Now, what is the "President's scheme of restoration?" It is simply to replace the Southern States in the position in the Union which they occupied before the war. All the preliminary steps have been taken, and nothing now remains, so far as his plan is concerned, but to admit the members from those States to their seats in Congress. Men may differ in regard to the propriety or the constitutionality of the proceedings through and by which the President has brought the revolted States up to the point where he is now willing that they shall take their places in the Union, and have their appropriate weight in the Government; but it does seem to us, that at the present stage of the proceeding, no man, who is not heart and soul with Sumner and Thad. Stevens, and who is not an enemy to the South, can oppose the President's policy.

The Democrat endeavors to make three sides to a question which has but two. The talk about the Johnston-Sherman policy is all fustian—means nothing, and cannot possibly amount to anything. On the subject of reconstruction there are but two parties. The President and those who sustain him in his efforts to effect the return of the Southern States to their places in the Government; and the Radical party, headed by Sumner and Stevens, who are laboring to prevent the restoration of the Union, and who desire to hold those States as conquered provinces, enjoying no political rights, ruled by military Satraps, and having no government but such as military authority, in its magnanimity, might see proper to accord them.

Every man who has any practical ideas upon this subject must sustain one or the other of these theories. There is no room for a party between the two, and nobody to make a party of if there was room for it. It is very plain that if the President does not succeed with his plan the Radicals will with theirs. We must confess that we are most anxious to see this great and exciting question definitely settled. This is what the true interests of the South imperatively require. If the political status of those States can be established, and they be left to the uninterrupted management of their own affairs, with no doubt as to the position which they are to occupy in the Union, they will be able to recover very rapidly from their present desolation and poverty. But so long as their status is uncertain, so long as they are left in doubt as to whether they are to occupy the position of sovereign States or that of conquered provinces, so long will their prosperity be checked and their recovery from the waste and ruin of the war retarded.

It is idle to be clamoring after impossibilities. The Southern States are a conquered people, and are compelled to submit. As we can not have things as we want them, we must take them as they come to us. It would have been exceedingly piecasnt if the Sherman-Johnston plan could have been originally conceded, but it was not. What then? Can we change the fact? Will our remonstrances with the President or with the Radicals in Congress avail us now, or would they have availed us at any time since the surrender? If not, then, as we are compelled to choose between two well-defined policies, one having some good in it, and the other only unmixed evil, why not sustain one or the other instead of filibustering about between the two without any hope of reaching a practical result?

What we want is to see the Union fully and completely restored. We commit ourselves to no part of the policy of the President, except this. We believe that upon this point he is laboring for the very end we so much desire to see accomplished. Therefore we are with him. When the Southern States shall have resumed their appropriate places in the Union, and are in full possession of the political power and influence which will then belong to and be conceded to them, it will be time to make issues and to consider whether other measures of the Government can receive our sanction, or whether we will confront them with a stern and unyielding opposition.

The admission of the States is now the great and paramount question. Without them, the Democratic party is powerless; with them, it can be made triumphant. Therefore, when we are laboring for the admission of those States we are working for the ultimate substitution of our party in place of the fanatical, vindictive, and mercenary wretches who are now seeking to trample the South into the dust.

The Democrat thinks that the Democratic party in Kentucky will not aid and support the President in his efforts to bring about the result we so much desire. We hope and trust—and we believe, too—that the Democrat is mistaken. It would, indeed, be calamitous if the friends of the South should abandon the President at a time when all the signs are so significant of his early triumph over our foes.

The Democrat Excited.

"Green-eyed jealousy" has taken possession of the Democrat. What it calls our coquettery with the Journal has fired its indignation, and it rushes at us with all the fury of a jealous woman who has lost her lover. The Democrat wallowed so long in the Journal's lusty embraces, and enjoyed such rapturous joy in the delightful association, in urging on the armies of the Government to the suppression of the rebellion, with the necessary incident of the total overthrow of slavery, that it now feels hurt and aggrieved that its late companion should have a word of approval to bestow upon any but itself, even upon a point not at all material to its happiness. Jealous-woman like, it flies at us, the innocent party, because its partner in sin congratulates himself that upon a single question our views are in accord. We did not seek the association of the Journal, and never intended, like the Democrat, to cohabit with it. Its style is not at all to our taste, and the Democrat may quiet its apprehensions, and resume, if it pleases, the delightful connection so rudely broken by the close of the war. What little character the rebellion has left us, we are very careful of, and don't intend to put in jeopardy by mixing with the Journal. The Democrat, having none to lose in this regard, can "go in, lemons," and cultivate the Journal to its heart's content.

The Good Work Going On.

The telegraph informs us that General Grant, under the direction of the President, is rapidly withdrawing all the troops from the Southern States. Step by step the President is moving on to the accomplishment of his purpose of relieving the Southern people of all restraint, and thus shows that he at heart reposes entire confidence in their professions. The Radicals will of course rant and rave, but the President is firm and will not be intimidated. Only a few days ago, the enemies of the South in the House of Representatives adopted a resolution expressive of the opinion that the troops should not be withdrawn until both Houses of Congress were satisfied that there was no longer any necessity for their presence. We then expressed the opinion that the President would tolerate no such impertinent interference with his constitutional prerogatives, and he has justified our opinion. If the President will now restore the habeas corpus there will be but little left for him to do.

The Democrat and the President's Policy.

The Courier quotes from our paper the following:

"We must add, too, that the Democrats will not sustain the President in his particular scheme of restoration. The scheme presented by the meeting which the Courier underrates, based upon the Sherman and Johnston treaty of surrender, is the one which the Democracy of this State is pledged to support. So far as his scheme accords with that, the only true one, the President will be supported by the Democratic party, and no further."

It then adds:

"As we have no means of knowing the motives of the editor, or any method of interpreting his meaning, other than by his peculiar language, we must conclude that the President's plan for the restoration of the Union does not meet his approbation and will encounter his opposition."

Suppose the Courier gives a few hours' study to the last paragraph quoted from our paper, and see if the Democrat does not pledge its support to the President so far as his scheme of reconstruction accords with the plan and principles of the Democratic party. Does the Courier intend to support the President's plan *against* that of the Democracy when the two plans do not agree?—[Democrat.

We have given the paragraph referred to by the Democrat the few hours' study asked for, and we have come to the conclusion that the last sentence of the paragraph is entirely irreconcilable with the first. The first sentence says that "Democrats will not sustain the President in his particular scheme of restoration," while the last sentence says that so far as the scheme accords with the Sherman and Johnston treaty of surrender it will be supported by the Democratic party and no further. Now it will require a convention of philologists to determine how far the Democratic party, which means in this case the Louisville Democrat, is going with the President, and where it is going to stop.

The Sherman and Johnson treaty is not now an issue, but the Democrat thinks it is, which amounts to the same thing, and it is necessary to define how far the "treaty" conforms to the "policy." We are at loss to decide, and consequently cannot tell how much or how little support the President is to expect from the Democrat.

The Democrat claims to have pledged its support to the President's policy, so far as it is Democratic, and wants to know if we intend to go further than that. We could not well answer the question to the satisfaction of that paper until we know how much of that policy it considers Democratic. We intend to support so much of the President's policy as will place the Southern States in their appropriate places in the Union; as will give their members their seats in Congress, and the power and weight they are entitled to in the Government; and as will enable those States to have and exercise all the powers and rights which States may rightfully have and exercise. How much of this would be Democratic in the view of our contemporary we do not know, but this much we are for. We consider this point as paramount to all party considerations and all party tactics, and we know that the Southern people, almost without exception, look upon it in this light. When this important point is reached; when the Southern States, with all their Democratic tendencies and predilections, are once more in and a part of the Union, it will be very easy to raise points upon the democratic creed, and we will be more able, with their votes and influence, to give those points force and effect. Any policy which has a tendency to keep those States out, is to that extent damaging to the Democratic party, and should be opposed and frowned upon by all true Democrats. He is a dangerous enemy of the Democratic party who would deprive it of its greatest strength by keeping the Southern States out of their rightful position in the Union, and he who opposes the President in this crisis strengthens the arms of the Radicals, and helps to accomplish this result.

We know of no public journal in the late Confederate States which occupies the Democrat's position upon this question. We know of none which is not wishing the President God-speed in his efforts to restore the Union, but we presume the Democrat knows better what the people of the South are entitled to and ought to have than their own journals. But the trouble is, that the Democrat, by itself, will constitute but a very insignificant party, impotent for good, and, we trust, ineffectual for harm. It is fighting on its own book, and, therefore, will be able to accomplish but little. Certainly no very important results can grow out of its singular programme.

The Misconceptions of the Democrat.

The Democrat says that the Journal interpreted our meaning to be a direct tender to establish a party upon the Journal's platform, and responded. We have seen nothing in the Journal which would indicate any such conclusion on the part of that paper; but whatever misapprehension the Journal might have labored under in regard to our position, we can only answer for the Democrat's error in supposing that we had an idea of abandoning the "true faith," upon the presumption that, having shipped its own ground so often, it imagines that it is easy for others to do it also. We do not claim as much versatility in this respect as the Democrat has heretofore exhibited, and shall therefore be content if it will consider us an honest and earnest advocate of Democratic principles, and a faithful and devoted friend of the down-trodden South. We can imagine no possible circumstances under which we shall make any deviation from these two principles. We presume the Democrat will have no difficulty in understanding this.

☞ The following circular will no doubt be of interest and value to many readers of the COURIER:

OFFICE KENTUCKY STATE AGENCY, }
WASHINGTON, D.C., Jan. 1, 1866. }
Circular No. XXI.

The only means to collect pay for *mere* damages committed by the armies and navies of the United States is by special act of Congress. The Departments will not pay damages, and no court has jurisdiction of the class of claims under existing laws. To apply for a special act, the claimant should prepare his or her petition, alleging:

1st. Title to the property or other thing alleged to have sustained damage, must be fully set forth by description and value.

2d. By whom the damages were committed; if by the army or navy, state what organizations or regiments, or ships, and who was the commanding officer, and in command at the time.

3d. The damages must be set forth fully; each thing damaged should be described accurately and completely, and the character and amount of damages done fully set out.

4th. The *loyalty* of the claimant must be averred and fully sustained by proof.

Proof.—The damages alleged must be sustained by proof, each item fully and clearly proven as to kind, character, value, and by whom committed. The proof cannot be too clear and plain.

Cases when intended for presentation to Congress cannot be too carefully prepared; the petition should describe everything connected with the claim and the proof should be taken only to the points mentioned in the petition. Each affidavit should have a five cent Internal Revenue stamp attached and canceled.

I would advise all persons who have claims of this class against the Government to prepare them carefully now whilst they can obtain the proof, by persons who know all the facts. If the claims are not now paid, the amount of the claim, with the testimony in support of it, will be perpetuated, and may be presented at any time in the future. C. D. PENNEBAKER,
Agent State of Ky.

☞ The Owensboro Monitor thus speaks of the return home of Major John P. Thompson, candidate for the Clerkship of the Court of Appeals:

We are glad to see Major John P. Thompson home again, although under a depressing mission (his great losses by fire,) which hurried him from the beautiful Cave that he so shortly ago left here to see. However, he is now the happy possessor and sole owner of a Virginia Cave, and one of rare and priceless fortune. May he long live into "the shadow of the evening of life," to enjoy and discover new beauties each day in the most valuable of all the Caves once claimed by the proud and glorious Virginia's Dominion.

HOMESTEAD OF HENRY CLAY.—The homestead of Henry Clay, the Ashland estate, consisting of three hundred and twenty-five acres, was on Friday sold by Mrs. J. B. Clay to John B. Bowman, Regent of the Kentucky University, for the sum of $90,000. It is designed by the purchaser to transform it into the Agricultural College of Kentucky. Possession, we understand, will be given immediately.

☞ Four hundred freedmen recently passed through Huntsville on their way to Arkansas, having contracted to labor there.

The Mexican Question.

The publication of the diplomatic correspondence upon Mexican affairs, as transmitted by the President to the Federal House of Representatives, exhibits our relations with the Government of France in an unfavorable aspect. The popular mind was not prepared for this sudden revolution of the bold and hazardous foreign policy that, by the spirit of the official intercourse now made public, it appears that the Administration has adopted. The tone of Mr. Seward's letters betrays a temper of defiance, and perhaps of provocation, that, we fear, is scarcely justified by the present exhausted, impoverished and unsettled condition of the country. Nor are we convinced that the interests of enlightenment, of progress, of humanity or of our own nationality are to be best served by disturbing the existing political condition of Mexico, even if it could be done without recourse to arms. Still less do we believe that the inhabitants of that country would be benefited, either in a political, social or industrial point of view, by any intervention on our part in behalf of a republicanism that with them was but a mockery, and that they neither appreciated nor applied to the enhancement of their own happiness and welfare.

The Mexican people have proven themselves unfit for self-government. They have advanced no step in civilization from the period when they abandoned their allegiance to the mother country. Possessing a territory unsurpassed in the extent and availability of its agricultural and mineral resources, with fine harbors on the Gulf coast and the Pacific to induce commercial intercourse, they have remained in a state of industrial apathy in the face of opportunities that ought to have been an inspiration to energy and enterprise. They have been active only in promoting discord, convulsion and revolution. The light of liberty was to them the torch of incendiarism, and the treasures of their independence confirmed them in habits of sloth, or excited them to riot and insubordination.

The scepter of Maximilian has been like a magician's wand, sweeping in beneficence over a land enchanted by an evil genius. Under the influences of his statesmanship and firm but liberal policy, the development of the resources of that region of untold riches has opened a vista of progress and prosperity towards which the longing eyes of far-seeing and adventurous men from all parts of the world turn with a glowing expectancy. The tide of emigration already rolls towards the broad fertile fields, the inexhaustible mines, the vast treasure vaults unlocked and thrown open to industry and enterprise by the imperial hand that sways, not to oppress but to recreate Mexico, and guide it to a destiny of splendor. Is it with us either just or politic to thwart a mission that promises such grand results? Maximilian is laboring in the cause of progress. He is building an Empire, it is true, but it is one within which labor, talent and capital will be encouraged to serve the general welfare of mankind. Better the living Empire with soul, and strength and capacity to shape its future for the happiness of its people, than the corpse of a Republic, having but the name of republicanism to denote its essence in the family of nations. We might have forestalled Maximilian in infusing life and vigor into the political system of Mexico; but we have lost our opportunity. If the policy of this Government is to attempt, by force of arms, to wrest the task of Mexican regeneration from those that have assumed it, and to apply to our own uses and to the aggrandizement of our Republic the wealth and resources of that territory, let us first consider what the immediate consummation of that design will cost. Our country needs recuperation. It is overwhelmed with debt and taxation. There is disorder in the political household. It is not in a condition to provoke a trial of strength with a powerful opponent that would, in the event of a collision, exert itself to the utmost to secure the supremacy of imperialism and destroy the prestige and influence of our form of government. At this crisis a war with France would be a deadly conflict to determine whether Monarchism or Republicanism shall be the ruling political principle of the world. Are we prepared to accept the conflict? Is it to the interest of humanity that we should precipitate the settlement of that issue? "Pause! Reflect."

The Appellate Judgeship.

At the approaching State election a Judge of the Court of Appeals, for the Third District, in which the city of Louisville is situated, is to be chosen by the qualified voters. It might be too early to enter upon a canvass for a political office, but we think that the people cannot have too much time for the consideration of a question of such vast and vital importance as the election of a judicial officer of such high position. It is necessary that the greatest care should be taken in the election of a Judge to whom are committed the final arbitrament of matters involving our dearest rights and the most important property interests.

The members of the Bardstown bar, headed by that erudite, sagacious and prudent lawyer, Ex-Governor Wickliffe, have united in recommending Hon. M. R. Hardin for the Appellate bench. The highly complimentary and truthful indorsement of Mr. H.'s claims from these gentlemen, who have known him so long and so thoroughly, appears in our columns this morning, and will attract public attention. We are conversant with Mr. Hardin's reputation as an able and fine lawyer, and have no hesitancy in pronouncing him a most fit person for the Appellate Judgeship. His popularity is very great in the central and southern portion of the District, and will, we have no doubt, extend as the people become aware of his eminent suitability for the office to which he is so highly recommended by his immediate neighbors.

Funeral of Rev. Thos. J. Fisher.

The ceremonies attendant upon the interment of the remains of Rev. Thos. J. Fisher, who was so inhumanly murdered by an unknown assassin a few nights since, took place at the Walnut-street Baptist Church on Saturday morning.

After prayer by Rev. Dr. Teasdale, the funeral oration was pronounced by Rev. Geo. C. Lorrimer. The remarks of the eloquent clergymen were based upon Genesis, fourth chapter and eighth verse. An instructive parallel was drawn between the murder of Abel and that of the lamented divine.

The concluding prayer was offered by Rev. W. H. Anderson, of the Methodist Church, after which the remains were entrusted to the Masonic Fraternity, by whom they were escorted to Cave Hill cemetery, there to repose until the victim and the murderer shall be called before the Great Tribunal.

The Rev. Mr. Fisher leaves a wife and accomplished daughter who are plunged into irreparable grief at their overwhelming loss; but we are gratified to know that their pecuniary circumstances are very favorable.

During the long and successful ministry of the deceased, he was the means through God of the conversion to the Baptist Church of over ten thousand persons. His last effort in public was an address at Bagdad in defense of the order of Masonry.

For Mexico.

We understand that General John S. Williams, widely known by his gallantly-won *sobriquet* of "Cerro Gordo," leaves Nashville on to-morrow, with sixty emigrants, for Mexico.

It is a source of great regret that a gentleman of the ability, wealth and popular influence of General Williams should leave Kentucky at this juncture, when the services of all her sons are so much needed. But we cannot do else than wish the hero of so many adventures—this knight, trusty and valiant—the most entire success in his new venture. He does not go to Mexico a stranger, for in the capacity of a soldier he traveled over the greater portion of that country years ago. With the language he is thoroughly conversant, and will, in every respect, make a valuable acquisition to the empire of Maximilian.

Thousands of blessings will be wafted from every section of Kentucky upon the enterprise of General Williams.

The Reconstruction Policy.

Those who oppose the policy of the President to restore Southern States to the Union and reinvest them with their legitimate and sovereign powers, and at the same time reject the Radicalism of the extremists, certainly do not reflect upon the consequences of the triumph of their views to the extent of the defeat of the President's policy. There is no alternative after the rejection of the President's policy but the adoption of that of the Radicals. There is no middle ground. He is for the restoration of the States to their appropriate positions in the Union; the Radicals desire to throw the States back under territorial governments, and hold them as conquered provinces. But this is not all. The Radicals argue that the rebels have forfeited every civil and political privilege; that they can not now claim anything as a right, but are dependent entirely upon the clemency of their conquerors; that their lands and property have passed, through their treason, to the Government, and should be disposed of by the Government for the benefit of the freedmen and the payment of the public liabilities. Their programme involves the continuance of military authority, and the exclusion of civil for despotic rule.

No intelligent man will pretend to deny that one or the other of these policies must triumph. The Southern people all see this, they understand the danger in which they stand, and that they will only be secure against utter and irreparable ruin by the success of the President's policy. Therefore they are, almost without exception, for it. Their presses advocate it, and the people support the presses. The success of the radical policy will leave the whole question unsettled for years to come. The status of the Southern States will be uncertain and undefined. The rigor of military rule and the insecurity of private rights will drive the present population from the country, and it will gradually be occupied by adventurers from the North and their freed allies. Then, when a thorough and radical change has been effected in the character of the population, and the property has all been stolen from the present inhabitants, perhaps the States may again be permitted to resume their places in the Union.

Probably the object of the Radicals is to obtain possession of the country and settle it up with Northern men. With their programme adopted they would have but little difficulty in doing this, for they could easily make the condition of the people so intolerable that they would be compelled to emigrate. If their property was not stolen from them, their anxiety to leave the country would induce them to sell at nominal prices, and the Northern cormorants would fatten and grow rich upon their misfortunes.

On the other hand, if the President's policy is adopted, the people and the States will be left to take care of themselves; their State governments will be retained; their members will be admitted to their seats; justice will be administered, and private rights will be secure. The ravages of the war will soon disappear, and its losses be recovered. How can any patriot, or any friend of the South hesitate between these policies? The one just, statesmanlike and beneficent; the other cruel, heartless, destructive and revolting. It is folly to quibble under such circumstances as these; it is criminal to oppose. The President may have employed means to bring about this result which we cannot all approve; but how different would have been the condition of the South, if, at the close of the war, he had thrown himself into the arms of the Radicals, and employed the great power in his hands to carry out their schemes. This reflection alone should be sufficient to convince every friend of the South how much is due the President for his manly and patriotic stand, and how strong the obligation on our part to sustain him in this great conflict for the restoration of the South.

Test Oaths.

We have seen various reasons assigned why the system of test oaths should be persisted in, none of which, in our opinion, furnish any justification for their adoption or continuance. If they are not clearly unconstitutional, which is claimed and very satisfactorily demonstrated, there can still be no question of their impolicy. And while the evils of the system are very plain, it would be difficult to show that any good results can possibly accrue. The test oaths are simply used as an engine of vengeance to degrade and humiliate Southern men.

It is not asserted that those oaths will have any tendency to develope or to increase the growth of loyalty, or that they furnish any additional security for the good behavior of those lately in rebellion; but simply that they keep men who have participated in the rebellion out of public offices. The object of the retention of them is, therefore, punishment, and not reform.

Now it appears to us, that the oaths of amnesty and allegiance which the Southern people have taken, should be regarded as a sufficient guarantee of loyalty to the Government, and that if the masses of the people are considered worthy of citizenship they should not be restricted in their right to hold office or to select their representatives, by test oaths; for but an insignificant portion of the population can conscientiously take them, and they render almost every honorable or capable man in the South ineligible to office.

These test oaths are beginning to react upon the Government, and already one department is calling for their repeal. The Treasury Department cannot find men enough who are worthy to be trusted, who can take the oath, and the consequence is that the revenue cannot be collected in the Southern States. The Comptroller of the Treasury recently made a communication on the subject, explaining the difficulties which surrounded the collection of the revenue on this account, and asked for the repeal of the test-oath law. Now the Post Office Department finds it impossible to get Postmasters, and the mail service cannot be revived without them.

Discussing this question, the Washington Chronicle says that "there are a few loyal men in the South capable of filling the more valuable offices; and, if they are not at hand, others can be sent there from the loyal States. But there is no remedy as it regards the unremunerative places. They must be filled by persons on the spot, or not at all; for no man can afford to emigrate South with a view to accepting a situation not worth as much as five hundred dollars per annum. Yet nineteen-twentieths of the Southern post-offices are of this character, as any man can be satisfied of by reference to the Blue Book." And argues that "wherever the annual compensation of Federal offices has been found by past experience to fall below, say five hundred dollars, the persons appointed to fill them may enter upon the discharge of their functions by taking the usual oath of office; and in the case of men who have been in rebellion, by taking an oath of allegiance."

Now this is all very liberal. Where offices are worth nothing, and it is more trouble than profit to take care of them, unredeemed and unregenerated rebels may safely be permitted to hold them; but where the compensation is sufficient to enable a man to live, men from the North must be imported to hold the office. In this view of the case, the purpose of the oath is simply to keep Southern men out of the offices of honor and profit, so that they may be distributed to hungry Northerners. This is the best explanation of the object of the oath we have seen.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.—At a meeting of the stockholders of the Central National Bank of Danville, held on Saturday, the 6th inst., the following gentlemen were elected to serve as Directors of that institution for the present year, viz: C. Rodes, C. C. Moore, S. S. Fry, I. P. Fisher, J. A. Jacobs, R. Gentry, A. G. Talbot, R. W. Washington, Jas. G. Cecil. An organization of this Board was then made by the unanimous election of C. Rodes, President.

OIL.—There are now 16,000 barrels of oil ready for shipment, lying in the tanks on Crocus Creek, Cumberland county, Kentucky, only twelve miles from Burksville. All that is wanted are barrels, wherein to "pack" the slippery fluid, and the aforesaid will go booming down the Cumberland.

The Journal and the Amendment.

The Journal is of the opinion that the adoption of the Constitutional Amendment never was a matter of doubt, from the time that it was submitted to the people. That is a matter upon which there might well have been two opinions. If the Southern States had not been compelled to vote for it, the result would most assuredly have been doubtful. But if it was so certain that the amendment would be adopted, why did the Journal clamor so loudly for Kentucky to give it her sanction? She could gain nothing by so doing, if it was certain to be adopted without her vote, and she was simply voting for what she believed to be a great wrong perpetrated upon her without provocation or excuse. Why did the Journal fume and sweat so hard to make the people believe that it was both their interest and their duty to vote for it? It was adopted, but Kentucky did not stultify herself as the Journal desired her to do, by voting away her rights. The wrong is accomplished, but the sin is not upon her skirts. The Journal's appeals and arguments passed as the idle wind, and did not avail to induce the people to prove recreant to their principles and their honor. They have been slaughtered in the home of their pretended friends, but they did not commit the suicide the Journal so much desired.

Gov. Brownlow and the Negroes.

The Saint who occupies the gubernatorial chair of Tennessee has recently been making a speech to his colored confederates. He ponred himself out in this wise:

I can only say by way of admonition and encouragement to the colored friends: Attend your schools; learn to read the Word of God, and then learn to love and practice it; and by way of caution and advice I admonish you, be mild and temperate in your habits and spirit, and your conduct towards the white people. I advise as a friend loving the institution and desiring the prosperity of what you have undertaken. I advise the teachers, male and female, to be exceedingly prudent and cautious, and do nothing offensive to the predominant party here.

You may think it a little strange that I give such counsel. I do it because if Gen. Thomas were to take away his soldiers, and pull up stakes and leave here, you would not be allowed to occupy this school-room a week; and if General Thomas and his military forces were to go away and leave us, this Legislature, at the head of which I am placed, would be broken up by a mob in forty-eight hours.

Had a man been placed here of less prudence, less goodness of temper, less sense, and less sense of justice than General Fisk, this institution and this Bureau would have failed, and he may console himself to-day that if our blessed Savior were to come to Davidson county with shoulder straps on, and three or four stars on his shoulders, and bring with him a military staff of the old Apostles, he could not give satisfaction to the rebels of Davidson county.

I am in for the fight during the war. I propose to fight on. I have no favor to ask of the rebels in Davidson county, or anywhere else; and God being my judge and witness, I do not ask the votes of that party for any office, either now or hereafter. I will fight them to a bitter end; but knowing the spirit that actuates them, I conclude my remarks by again admonishing you as teachers, male and female, white and colored, to be prudent, cautious and still-tongued, for "thrice is he armed who hath his quarrel just."

The man who can talk in this way is a very fit and proper person to lecture either whites or negroes upon their duties or to represent the public sentiment of the South. Such shocking profanity would disgrace a drunken pot-house orator, and is disgusting to all decent people. Yet this man is the Governor of Tennessee and one of the idols of the Radical party. He is a fit representative of such an organization.

Interesting Statistics.

We call attention to the following statistics. If any of our readers find any error in them, we would be glad to have it corrected. If these figures be true, it may well be left to the future to answer the question, "*Has the war paid?*"

	Whites.	Slaves.
Population of the seceded States in 1860.....	5,449,462	3,521,000
Union population of East Tennessee and West Virginia.....	185,462	21,000
	5,300,000	3,500,000
Vote of the seceded States in 1860.....	570,345	
Subtract for East Tennessee and West Virginia.....	19,145	
	960,000	

Estimated value of property in the seceded States in 1860, exclusive of negroes..... $3,000,000,000
Estimated value of negroes..... 3,000,000,000
Total..... 6,000,000,000

Estimate one in seven as capable of bearing arms, and add 30,000 for Delaware, 50,000 for Missouri, and 5,000 for Maryland, and the total of arms-bearing men in the seceded States in 1860..... 820,143
Add 65,000 to the voters and the total is..... 705,000
Aggregate of men actually enlisted in the United States army..... 2,656,553
"Emergency men of 1863," and "Morgan-raid," (see Secretary Stanton's report)..... 120,000
Total..... 3,776,553
Estimated Federal soldiers killed and died..... 360,000
Permanently disabled..... 270,000
Total..... 630,000
Number of Federal army, May 1st, 1865..... 1,000,516
Whole number of Southern soldiers paroled at the close of the war..... 174,223
Southern prisoners in possession of the U. S. Government at the close of the war..... 69,407
Number of soldiers and prisoners paroled by United States..... 287,800

Present debt and unsettled claims of the United States..... $3,500,000,000
Income from Customs, Internal Revenue, &c., expended during the war.. 1,300,000,000
Bounties, recruiting expenses, Sanitary Commission, &c., expended by the States, Counties and Individuals..... 1,500,000,000
Damage to shipping, commerce, railroads, &c., in loyal States or belonging to loyal owners..... 200,000,000
Total cost of the war in actual money spent..... $6,500,000,000

From the South.

We had the pleasure of meeting yesterday our friend Capt. J. P. Burnside, formerly of Garrard county, but at present a resident of Augusta, Georgia. Capt. B. is on a brief visit to his many Kentucky friends, who will be glad to meet him after a prolonged absence in the enjoyment of unusual good health.

Capt. B. reports the small-pox is an epidemic in Augusta, there being some fifteen hundred cases in the hospitals. The ravages of the loathsome disease are confined almost exclusively to the negroes, many of whom are found dead in the streets and commons.

Trade has slackened in a great degree, and the whole country is overstocked with goods.

The negroes are generally peaceful, but much addicted to stealing. They are, however, reluctant to make engagements for labor with their former owners. Quite a number of persons from the North have emigrated to the neighborhood of Augusta, and rented plantations. These parties find little difficulty in engaging labor, as they are favored by the Freedmen's Bureau.

The bridges on the Western and Atlanta Railroad, between Chattanooga and Atlanta, are still down, but passengers have no difficulty in making the transit of the Chattahoochie and Oostauala rivers. There is a vast amount of freight at the upper end of the road awaiting transportation Southward.

THE RAILROAD TO FORT WAYNE AND THE NORTH.—We are pleased to learn that there is now a certainty of the early construction of this highly-important railroad enterprise, which is to open a new and highly fertile section of Indiana territory to the trade of Louisville. The road commences at Jeffersonville, opposite this city, and extends northward to Fort Wayne, through a region of country that has never yet been favored with railroad facilities.

At the present term of the Clark (Indiana) Common Pleas Court a decree was issued under which the whole interest of the old company will be sold, and of course purchased by the new managers. The President of the new organization is Mr. Powell, of Cincinnati, who represents a strong company of English capitalists, who have ample means to put the work through, and are determined to do so at once.

☞ Trains on the Mississippi Central Railroad commenced running on Friday from Grand Junction to Bolivar, connecting at Grand Junction with the Memphis and New Orleans trains. There will in a few days be a daily instead of a tri-weekly train on the M. & O. Railroad.

☞ Mrs. Douglas, the widow of the late Senator Douglas, is to be married on the 20th inst. to Brevet Brigadier General Williams, A. A. G. U. S. A., who is now on duty at the War Department.

☞ Recently, Wm. H. Sanders, who served through the war as a Lieutenant of the 12th Louisiana, was killed in Jackson Parish by John and Harrison Colcote. The latter was a deserter.

☞ Edward Dedrick, aged nine years, was shot and killed in Memphis by Lewis Hill, aged twelve, on the 12th.

[From the Frankfort Yeoman.]

The Reason Why the Governor Signed the Repeal of the Expatriation Law.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, }
FRANKFORT, Jan. 12, 1866. }

Col. S. I. M. Major:—You will remember that after the passage of the bill repealing the expatriation law, Governor Bramlette intended vetoing that bill. I was informed by Governor Bramlette that an argument which was made before him by Col. W. P. D. Bush, of Hancock, had convinced him that he ought to sign the bill, and the Governor, after having

BY TELEGRAPH.

Nashville Items.

NASHVILLE, Jan. 15.—There has been a heavy rain all day. The river is rising, with ten feet on the shoals.

Cotton firmer, and prices range from 39 to 42c; receipts and shipments light.

Corn has advanced to 90c, and the market firm.

The oil excitement is on the increase here. A great yield is expected from the oil regions near Burkesville.

Remonstrance—Arrival of a Delegation.

NEW YORK, Jan. 15.—The Commercial's Washington special says the American Home League, organized in favor of protection, has presented a remonstrance to both Houses of Congress against the petition of the Free Trade League.

Messrs. Galt, Harvey and Howland of Canada, Smith of New Brunswick, and Henry of Nova Scotia, have arrived here as a delegation to negotiate for a renewal of the reciprocity treaty.

The House Committee of Ways and Means has taken no action yet on Secretary McCulloch's finance bill.

The returns of internal revenue from June 30th to Saturday last were $179,500,000. The current year's receipts will unquestionably exceed $300,000,000.

The Southern claimants of seats are much discouraged at the aspect of affairs.

There are rumors of speedy action in the case of Jeff Davis by Congress.

The Post's dispatch says the Ways and Means Committee take up the new financial bill to-morrow. There has been no expression of opinion upon the bill, no quorum having yet been present.

The previous question on the equal suffrage bill will be called to-morrow.

The Reconstruction Committee had promi nent gentlemen before them this morning, to give evidence concerning the condition of the South.

The committee on the death of Mr. Lincoln have examined into the brutal newspaper charges, that thefts were committed by members of his family in the White House, and find them entirely false.

New York Items.

NEW YORK, Jan. 15.—Louisa E. Lamold, of this city, this morning cut her throat, but failing to die, jumped into East river. She was rescued and taken to the hospital.

There was great excitement at the Brooklyn Court-house this morning. An attempt was made to empannel a jury for the trial of Gonzalez Cascoro, of Otero. Five jurors were summoned but not one has yet been obtained, every one whose name was called having formed an opinion. It is hardly probable that a jury will be obtained to-day.

The Secretary of the Treasury has instructed cotton agents to make no more sales of captured cotton for the present. The Secretary believes that delay will be advantageous to the Government, in bringing into the Treasury a higher price for cotton than can be obtained now.

The first train on Wall street is more animated to-day, the fear that some hasty and ill-considered effort of contraction will result from the financial measure now before Congress appears to be passing away. The loan market is growing easier, and the current rates for demand loans are from five to six per cent. Commercial paper passes at 7 to 9 per cent., with none offering. The stock market opened with more animation, and closed firm. Governments are steady, but without activity. Gold is being quoted and steady at a fractional advance. Railroad shares show a considerable speculative activity.

The Fenian Trial—General Sweeny Gen. Scofield in Paris.

NEW YORK, Jan. 15.—On Wednesday the Fenian Senate will try the three members of the Senate who joined O'Mahony. They are charged with violating their oath of office and repudiating the Constitution of the Fenian Brotherhood.

General Sweeny has issued an order to all circles to be firm in their determination, and aid him in his efforts to command the grand military movements which will embrace the opening campaign against England.

Mr. P. O'Rourke, Treasurer of the Senate Branch of the Brotherhood, has placed an amount in the hands of Gen. Sweeny, and it is to be used in the purchase of war materials.

Letters from Paris say there is a strong desire on the part of the French Government and people for the inauguration of measures which shall restore their trade with this country to its former proportions, from which it has greatly fallen off.

General Scofield was still in Paris at the beginning of this month, and was receiving much attention from officers of the French army.

A movement is in foot to procure a suitable testimonial for pilots Sequin and Jones, who saved the passengers from the burning Mary Boardman at great risk to themselves.

Dispatches from Washington say information has been called for by Congress respecting Maximilian's Express Company, which will show up a singular state of things. It appears that a charter was obtained from Maximilian for such a company, and that immediately thereafter certain parties organized and issued large quantities of stock, and distributed it very freely among prominent men in New York and Philadelphia. It is alleged that the correspondence will show that a large amount of this stock was received by an official for certain facilities granted to the company, in allowing vessels to depart from the United States, loaded with articles contraband of war.

Some of the officers of the Veteran Reserve Corps are endeavoring to secure the organization of a brigade of frontier guards, modeled after the coast guard of Great Britain, to be stationed along the Canadian frontier.

Soldiers' Bounty Money.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 15.—It appears, from official statement, that the total number of men who have received, respectively, $400, $300, or $100 bounty during the war, was 1,720,740, amounting in the aggregate to nearly $601,500,000. The total enlistments during the war were 2,491,000 men, of whom upwards of 791,000 received no bounty.

In answer to an inquiry by Mr. Schenck, Chairman of the Military Committee, respecting the proposed laws, equalizing the bounties of all soldiers who served during the war, to suppress the rebellion, the Paymaster General says, the sum required to pay each soldier, on the basis adopted, is enough to bring up his bount to $400.

The largest sum paid is nearly $688,000,000, and the sum required to pay each soldier such highest bounty, in proportion to his term of service, is $450,000,000. The Paymaster General says the sums estimated as necessary to accomplish the end proposed, even if reduced by one-half, given an amount of money sufficiently enormous and startling in its proportions to awaken the gravest and most considerate attention to the measure, if indeed it can be regarded at all practicable at the present crisis, without entailing financial ruin.

In a further argument against the measure, he says it is certain that to a greater extent than is now intended, much fraudulation will inure to the benefit of speculating adventurers all over the land who have been buying soldiers' discharges with a view to such legislation, and who are now doubtless overjoyed at the consummation the large bounty allowances thus far given in spite of the careful vigilance and scrutiny exercised by the officers of this department, and have led to frauds inextricable. It cannot be doubted that such extensions of these allowances as are proposed will give a new opportunity to evil-doers in that line.

The court to-day decided a number of important cases, including that of Brig. Gen. Thompson, appealing against the decision of the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York. The Supreme Court held that the District Court had exclusive jurisdiction in cases of prize. Authorities were quoted to show that the same may be made where there is a probable cause. The case of a probable cause was made out in the opinion of the Supreme Court, which to-day affirmed the decree of the court below. An interesting statement is made, derived from official sources, that during the Mexican war the loss by paymasters in the army was $75,000, while during the war with Great Britain, when as much more was expended, or in the Mexican war, the loss by paymasters was $20,000, and during the war of the Revolution, although the disbursements amounted to $128,100,000, the loss will be $300,000, or less than half a million.

A petition has been received by both Houses of Congress opposing the petition signed by the officers of the Free Trade League. The remonstrance says they represent to a large extent the interests of agriculture, mining, manufacturing and commercial, and they most respectfully dissent from the petitioners in their views of the true business relations which exist among mankind that are expressed by the Free Trade League.

From Washington.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 15.—It is believed that the Committee on Reconstruction contemplates the organization of four sub-committees of their number and divide the South into four traveling districts for these committees to visit, and examine, and report upon the affairs of each to Congress some time in the spring or summer.

The House Committee on Elections have agreed to report in favor of Mr. Caffrati, from the Tenth District of Pennsylvania.

A special to the New York Commercial Advertiser says: A strong pressure from your city has been brought to bear against the foreign loan authorized by Mr Morrill's bill. It is objected that it will tend to increase the financial importance of London at the expense of New York and cause a depreciation of gold that will render the future conversion of the loan impracticable.

A series of editorials, said to be written by ex-Governor Magrath, at the instance of Gen. Sickles, and arguing that the success of the Confederacy would have been a misfortune to the South, published in Charleston, are creating considerable comment.

The warehouse of Antonio Marcias, at Matamoras, was burned on the 5th inst. Eight lives were lost and several persons severely injured.

A report came by the last Aspinwall steamer that the Spanish Admiral Pareja was dead, which is probably untrue.

XXXIX Congress—First Session.

SENATE.—Mr. Chandler offered a preamble and resolution declaring as follows:

WHEREAS, The British Government has refused indemnity for damage done to our commerce by her subjects—therefore,

Resolved, That the President be requested to withdraw our minister from the Court of St. James, and to declare national non-intercourse between the two nations.

Mr. Dixon objected, and it lies over under the rule.

Mr. Howard offered a resolution calling for the trial of Jeff. Davis and Clement C. Clay, on the charges on which they are confined, by a military commission or court-martial.

The resolution was objected to, and lies over.

Mr. Nye called up the bill to facilitate the commercial, postal and military intercourse between the States.

On this bill Mr. Foote took the floor.

Mr. Wilson's bill to provide for the appearance of every officer in the U. S. army before a competent board of examiners, was referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. Wilson's bill, recently offered by him for fixing the strength and providing for the organization of the army on a peace basis, was, on motion, recommitted to the Military Committee.

Mr. Wade presented a memorial numerously signed, in favor of a protective tariff, which was referred to the Finance Committee.

Mr. Sumner presented a petition of the Colored Methodist Episcopal Church of Maryland in favor of universal suffrage, which was referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction.

Mr. Sherman offered the following resolution, and explained that the person named in the resolution had been appointed, upon the recommendation of a member of the House, from Illinois, to a high appointment in the son of J. J. Dickson, a Federal officer who was killed in the late war:

Resolved, That Jas. J. Wheeler, of Kentucky, a cadet in the naval academy, and formerly in the naval service of the so-called Confederate States, be dismissed from the academy, and that no person shall be appointed a cadet in the naval or military academy of the United States who shall have rendered aid and assistance to the said rebellion. The resolution was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. Anthony presented a petition of certain naval officers who took part in the destruction of the Albemarle, asking for an allowance of prize money, which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. Anthony presented a petition asking that Congress enact that a copy of every public document published be sent to every public school in the country, which was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. Sherman presented a petition of Ohio soldiers asking an equalization of bounty between those who enlisted early and those who enlisted late in the war—referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. Cowan presented a petition for the retention of the Veteran Reserve Corps as a part of the United States army, which was referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. Sprague presented memorials of manufacturers of steel, sheet iron, bronze colors, salt, cigars and other articles, stating that the import duty is so low as to operate against home manufactures, and asking for some remedy or increased import duties. Mr. Sprague said he had introduced a resolution on this subject recently, merely as a resolution of inquiry. He had desired simply to give an opportunity by which his own experience had taught him was necessary. He had no interest in any particular department of manufacture, but he knew it was necessary to protect the manufacturing interests, and this country.

He did not believe that certain foreign nations were entitled to the sympathy of the Senate, and when he saw petitions introduced here, which come from men who were paid by parties in other countries, he could not help doubting the honesty of their purpose. All he had to say of a personal nature concerning the criticisms and complaints of a certain New York paper and of the other House, was that the course of this paper and of the parties to which it belonged would be known, which had been four years inimical to this country.

Mr. Wilson, from the Military Committee, reported formally a bill relative to the establishment of a naval and military asylum for the relief of all totally disabled officers and soldiers of the United States army.

Mr. Howard's joint resolution that, whereas, it appears from the report of the Secretary of War that Jeff. Davis and C. C. Clay, now held in confinement, have been concerned in the assassination of President Lincoln, and in the murder of Federal soldiers held as prisoners of war, be tried immediately by military court or court martial, was objected to and lies over till to-morrow.

Mr. Chandler called attention to a resolution offered by him on the 14th of December, 1864, directing the Secretary of State to make out a list of American vessels destroyed by British pirates in the employ of the rebel Government. Since that time the claims had been presented and the British Government had refused to settle it, and not only so, but had refused to continue the controversy on the subject. Mr. C. said the proper course for this country to pursue was absolute non-intercourse with Great Britain until this matter was settled. It is true we might declare war and blockade the British ports and the world would applaud us, but non-intercourse was the best peaceful mode of redress.

He believed the Secretary of War understood that in an event of a war between Great Britain and any other nation American privateers would destroy British commerce just as in the late war British privateers had destroyed American vessels.

Mr. Chandler made the above in lieu of his remarks offered a joint resolution declaring,

WHEREAS, By the recent judication of of diplomatic correspondence between this Government and Great Britain, we are fully advised that the last named Government has refused to submit the claims committed on American commerce through the agency of her products during the late rebellion, and has declined to arbitrate, and finally not to hear anything further on the subject; therefore,

Resolved, That the President is hereby requested to withdraw our Minister from the Court of St. James, and make the proclemation of national nonintercourse, which is hereby declared to take effect after such proclamation shall have been made.

Mr. Chandler asked for the immediate consideration of the above.

Mr. Dixon objected to it, and lies over.

Mr. Nesmith offered a resolution requesting the Military Committee to inquire into the expediency of a law creating brevet ranks among those only who have served with distinction in the field.

HOUSE.—The House resumed the consideration of the district of Columbia suffrage bill.

Mr. Kasson, of Iowa, opposed the extension of suffrage without restriction.

A resolution passed directing the Committee on Ways and Means to inquire into the expediency of repealing the 2 per cent. tax upon bullion on exports from the country.

A resolution passed that the Committee on Public Lands inquire into the healthfulness, convenience and location of the Presidential mansion, and whether suitable for the family of the President, and if not suitable, to report an estimate for a suitable mansion in a proper locality.

A resolution passed instructing the Banking Committee to inquire into the expediency of allowing any existing national bank to change its location into any other State or Territory.

A resolution was adopted instructing the Ways and Means Committee to inquire into the expediency of so amending the internal revenue laws, that insurance agents, the net proceeds of whose business does not exceed $2,500 per annum, shall not be required to take out license.

A resolution was adopted instructing the Ways and Means Committee to inquire whether increased duty should not be laid upon foreign distilled spirits.

A resolution was adopted instructing the Military Committee to inquire into the expediency of including non-commissioned officers, musicians, and privates in the regular army, who may be made such after the breaking out of the war or during any part of it, in any bounties paid to volunteers of 1861 and 1862.

A resolution was adopted instructing the Committee on Ways and Means to inquire into the expediency of imposing a license tax on horse racers, whose admission fee is chartered and taxed 5 per cent. on the gross receipts of the proprietor.

A resolution was adopted instructing the Judiciary Committee to inquire into the expediency of amending the naturalization laws; also, that all persons be required to read the Constitution intelligently before taking an oath to support it.

The House bill to facilitate postal and military communication between the States having been returned by the Senate, Mr. Washburne moved that the vote by which it passed be reconsidered, with a view to have it committed to the Judiciary Committee. Lost.

The House bill to facilitate postal and military communication between the States having been returned by the Senate, Mr. Washburne moved that the vote by which it passed be reconsidered, with a view to have it committed to the Judiciary Committee. Lost.

Kentucky Legislature.

FRANKFORT, Feb. 15, 1865.

SENATE.

Mr. Bruner—Revised Statutes—A bill to incorporate the American Horse Insurance Company. Passed.

Mr. Dudley—Same—A bill to amend the criminal law of the State. Ordered to be printed and placed in the orders of the day.

Same—A bill to authorize proceedings to be instituted for the forfeiture of railroad charters, in certain cases. [Allows providing, in the Franklin Circuit Court, to be instituted by the Attorney General, whenever any chartered railroad, turnpike, or bridge company shall not commence work in a reasonable time.] Passed.

Same—A bill to secure free and equal elections. Ordered to be printed and placed in orders of day.

Mr. Benton—Same—A bill to incorporate the Fayette county Lead and Mining Company. Passed.

Same—A bill to incorporate the Lexington Lead and Mining Company. Passed.

Same—A bill to incorporate the Union Methodist Episcopal Church in Covington. Passed.

Same—A bill to incorporate the Erie Transportation Company. Withdrawn.

Mr. Cleveland—Banks—A House bill to charter a Deposit Bank at Columbia, Ky. Amended and passed.

Mr. Dudley—Privileges and Elections—Reported a resolution from the majority of the committee declaring the seat of R. T. Baker, of Campbell county, vacant.

Mr. Prall, from the same Committee, made a minority report, dissenting from that of the majority.

The whole ordered to be printed, and made special order for Thursday next.

Mr. Baker—County Courts—For the benefit of the several county courts of this State.

Mr. Harrison—Judiciary—A bill in regard to turnpike and plank roads. Ordered to be printed and placed in the orders of the day.

Mr. O. P. Johnson—For the benefit of John Roach, of Butler county.

Same—For the benefit of Leander Bush, of Butler county.

Same—To amend the charter of Morgantown, in Butler county.

Mr. J. D. Landrum—To incorporate the Builders' Association of Paducah.

Same—To incorporate the Street Railroad Company of Paducah.

Mr. Benton—To establish a Criminal Court in the Ninth Judicial District.

Mr. Chandler—Resolution in regard to leasing the penitentiary to the highest and best bidder. Adopted.

Mr. Lilly—A bill for the benefit of the town of Staunton.

Same—A bill for the benefit of Wm. Caff.

Same—A bill for the benefit of the town of Irvine.

Same—A bill for the benefit of Estill, Montgomery and Owsley counties.

Mr. Colley—A bill to incorporate the Kentucky Land and Mining Company.

An act providing for appeals from the State courts, transferring causes to the United States courts. The act reads as follows:

Sec. 1. *Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky*, That either party to any suit in any court of this Commonwealth, where the matter in controversy exceeds one hundred dollars or more, shall have the right of appeal, according to the laws now existing regulating appeals, from the order of any such court, staying proceedings or refusing to stay proceedings, in any cause wherein the defendant may petition or apply for a stay of proceedings, with a view of transferring a cause to any court of the United States; and such right of appeal shall exist from any order already made in such cases within two years before the passage of this act.

Sec. 2. This act shall be in force from its passage.

Postponed and made the special order for to-morrow morning at half past ten o'clock.

A House resolution in regard to what legislation is necessary, if any, in reference of recent political events. Adopted.

Mr. Benton—Revised Statutes—A bill to incorporate the Erie Transportation Company. Passed.

Mr. Coffey, from the Committee on Enrollments, reported sundry bills as ready for the signature of the Speaker.

A resolution as to the indorsement of the Constitutional Amendment was called up by Mr. Lilly, and its reference to the Judiciary Committee moved and negatived. It was then moved to lay the same on the table, and the motion prevailed—Yeas 20, nays 12.

Mr. Cleveland proposed a resolution extending the session beyond sixty days, which lies over on the motion of Mr. Lilly.

The Senate then adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Petitions were presented by Messrs. Kennedy, Hindman, Woolfolk, Bell, Cockrell, Poindexter, Hunsaker, Murphy and the Speaker, and appropriately referred

BY TELEGRAPH.

Meeting of Maryland Legislature.

Message of Governor Swann.

Maryland Legislature—Message of Governor Swann.

BALTIMORE, Jan. 11.—Governor Swann submitted his message to the extra session of the Maryland Legislature to-day. After expressing gratitude to Almighty God for the protecting arm which has been extended over the state during the late rebellion, and for the overwhelming triumphs of free principles in the conflict so recently terminated, speaking of the finances of the State he gives the reason for the call of the present extra session. The finances of the State, under the extraordinary demands growing out of the rebellion and the failure of our credit, available under the provisions of the late bounty act, upon terms satisfactory, make it my duty to call you together in advance of the time appointed for the regular meeting of the General Assembly. The Governor congratulates the people upon the healthful condition of the State Treasury. He says that at the close of the fiscal year of 1865 her sinking fund had increased to such an extent as to justify a large reduction of the losses and keep in her treasury continually more than a million of surplus over and above her legitimate wants.

It may be gratifying to the people of the State that the whole increase of the permanent debt, referable to the expenditures of the war, owing to the healthy condition in which the Treasury was found, and the aid furnished by taxation, does not exceed up to this time $500,000, and our claim on the general Government, should the war debt of the State be assumed by Congress, a measure not unlikely to be reported during its present session, will amount to $5,000,000 and upward.

Referring to the bounty bond of the State, the Governor gives the cause of the failure to procure the four millions appropriated for bounties by the issue of bounty bonds.

It could hardly, he says, have been expected that, in competition with the Government and other securities offering the strongest temptation as to soundness, and at much more than the par value of interest than the bonds of the State of Maryland, it could be thrown upon the market, unless at great disadvantage, and would recommend that the Bounty Act be so amended as to exempt these bonds from both State, county and municipal taxation, before another attempt is made to offer them in the market. The Governor sustains the justice and constitutionality of the State Registry law, in reference to the disfranchisement of a portion of the citizens of the State.

He says it has been alleged that the dominant party who now control the State represent only a minor part of the aggregate population. Small, however, as the minority may be, it cannot be deemed that it is the fair and legitimate representation of whatever there is of loyalty among our people. They are the men to whom you are indebted for the safety of your State, and without whose uncompromising devotion to the Union Maryland would have been handed over to indiscriminate destruction.

In reply to those who urge the repeal of the law, he says: I do not need authority to recommend a repudiation by the Legislature of the organic law of your State by any radical modification of the terms of the registration.

The Governor next discusses at length the subject of the federal relations, reconstruction and negro suffrage; he approves the reconstruction policy of President Johnson, who has adopted the same plan of reconstruction. Referring to the suffrage question, he says, will the Southern and Middle States, and perhaps our own State, stand it? This issue of negro suffrage is a subject of the greatest importance—Massachusetts, with her nine or ten thousand negroes, in an aggregate population of 1,250,000 souls; Maine, with her kindred, in an aggregate of more than 1,300,000 souls; Vermont, with her seven hundred, in an aggregate of more than 1,300,000; New Hampshire, with her five hundred, in an aggregate of more than 1,500,000, and the other five States, standing in the same numerical relation, would hardly claim to approach this issue from a common stand-point, even with our own State.

The loyal men of the South, admitted to be ever so limited in number, stand in no relation to